# Ordered Partitions Avoiding a Permutation Pattern of Length 3 

William Y.C. Chen ${ }^{1}$, Alvin Y.L. Dai ${ }^{2}$, Robin D.P. Zhou ${ }^{3}$<br>${ }^{1}$ Center for Applied Mathematics<br>Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, P.R. China<br>${ }^{1,2,3}$ Center for Combinatorics, LPMC-TJKLC<br>Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, P.R. China<br>emails: ${ }^{1}$ chenyc@tju.edu.cn, ${ }^{2}$ alvin@cfc.nankai.edu.cn, ${ }^{3}$ robin@cfc.nankai.edu.cn


#### Abstract

An ordered partition of $[n]=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ is a partition whose blocks are endowed with a linear order. Let $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{n, k}$ be the set of ordered partitions of $[n]$ with $k$ blocks and $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{n, k}(\sigma)$ be the set of ordered partitions in $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{n, k}$ that avoid a pattern $\sigma$. For any permutation pattern $\sigma$ of length three, Godbole, Goyt, Herdan and Pudwell obtained formulas for the number of ordered partitions of $[n]$ with 3 blocks avoiding $\sigma$ as well as the number of ordered partitions of $[n]$ with $n-1$ blocks avoiding $\sigma$. They also showed that $\left|\mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{n, k}(\sigma)\right|=\left|\mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{n, k}(123)\right|$ for any permutation $\sigma$ of length 3. Moreover, they raised a question concerning the enumeration of $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{n, k}(123)$, and conjectured that the number of ordered partitions of [2n] with blocks of size 2 avoiding $\sigma$ satisfied a second order linear recurrence relation. In answer to the question of Godbole, et al., we establish a connection between $\left|\mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{n, k}(123)\right|$ and the number $e_{n, d}$ of 123 -avoiding permutations of $[n]$ with $d$ descents. Using the bivariate generating function of $e_{n, d}$ given by Barnabei, Bonetti and Silimbani, we obtain the bivariate generating function of $\left|\mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{n, k}(123)\right|$. Meanwhile, we confirm the conjecture of Godbole, et al. by deriving the generating function for the number of 123 -avoiding ordered partitions of [ $2 n$ ] with $n$ blocks of size 2 .
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## 1 Introduction

The notion of pattern avoiding permutations was introduced by Knuth [10], and it has been extensively studied. Klazar [7] initiated the study of pattern avoiding set partitions. Further studies of pattern avoiding set partitions can be found in [4, 5, 8, 9, 11. Recently, Godbole, Goyt, Herdan and Pudwell [3] considered pattern avoiding ordered set partitions. Let $[n]=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. For a permutation $\sigma$ of length 3, Godbole, et al. obtained a formula for the number of $\sigma$-avoiding ordered partitions of [ $n$ ] with 3 blocks and a formula for the number of $\sigma$-avoiding ordered partitions of $[n$ ] with $n-1$ blocks. Moreover, they raised a question of finding the number of $\sigma$-avoiding ordered partitions of $[n]$ with $k$ blocks.

In answer to the above question, we establish a connection between the number of 123 -avoiding ordered partitions of [ $n$ ] with $k$ blocks and the number of 123 -avoiding permutations of $[n]$ with $d$ descents. This enables us to derive a bivariate generating function for the number of 123 -avoiding ordered partitions of $[n]$ with $k$ blocks. Meanwhile, we confirm the conjecture of Godbole, Goyt, Herdan and Pudwell [3] on a recurrence relation concerning the number of 123 -avoiding ordered partitions of $[2 n]$ with blocks of size 2 .

Let us give an overview of notation and terminology. Let $S_{n}$ be the set of permutations of $[n]$. Given a permutation $\pi=\pi_{1} \pi_{2} \cdots \pi_{n} \in S_{n}$ and a permutation $\sigma=\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \cdots \sigma_{k} \in S_{k}$, where $1 \leq k \leq n$, we say that $\pi$ contains a pattern $\sigma$ if there exists a subsequence $\pi_{i_{1}} \pi_{i_{2}} \cdots \pi_{i_{k}}\left(1 \leq i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{k} \leq n\right)$ of $\pi$ that is order-isomorphic to $\sigma$, in other words, for all $l, m \in[k]$, we have $\pi_{i_{l}}<\pi_{i_{m}}$ if and only if $\sigma_{l}<\sigma_{m}$. Otherwise, we say that $\pi$ avoids a pattern $\sigma$, or $\pi$ is $\sigma$-avoiding. Let $S_{n}(\sigma)$ denote the set of permutations of $S_{n}$ that avoid a pattern $\sigma$. For example, 41532 is 123-avoiding, while it contains a pattern 312 corresponding to the subsequence 412.

A partition $\pi$ of a set $[n]$, written $\pi \vdash[n]$, is a family of nonempty, pairwise disjoint subsets $B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{k}$ of $[n]$ such that $\cup_{i=1}^{k} B_{i}=[n]$, where each $B_{i}(1 \leq i \leq k)$ is called a block. We write $\pi=B_{1} / B_{2} / \cdots / B_{k}$ and define the length of $\pi$, denoted $b(\pi)$, to be the number of blocks. An ordered partition of $[n]$ is a partition of $[n]$ whose blocks are endowed with a linear order. Let $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{n, k}$ denote the set of ordered partitions of $[n]$ with $k$ blocks, let $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{n}$ denote the set of ordered partitions of $[n]$, and let $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{\left[b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{k}\right]}$ denote the set of ordered partitions of $\left[b_{1}+b_{2}+\cdots+b_{k}\right]$ such that the $i$-th block contains $b_{i}$ elements. If $b_{1}=\cdots=b_{k}=s$, we write $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{\left[s^{k}\right]}$ for $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{\left[b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{k}\right]}$. Let op ${ }_{n, k}=\left|\mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{n, k}\right|$, $\mathrm{op}_{n}=\left|\mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{n}\right|, \mathrm{op}_{\left[b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{k}\right]}=\left|\mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{\left[b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{k}\right]}\right|$ and $\mathrm{op}_{\left[s^{k}\right]}=\left|\mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{\left[s^{k}\right]}\right|$.

Given an ordered partition $\pi=B_{1} / B_{2} / \cdots / B_{k} \in \mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{n, k}$ and a permutation $\sigma=$ $\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \cdots \sigma_{m} \in S_{m}$, we say that $\pi$ contains a pattern $\sigma$ if there exist blocks $B_{i_{1}}, B_{i_{2}}, \ldots, B_{i_{m}}$ with $1 \leq i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{m} \leq k$ and elements $b_{1} \in B_{i_{1}}, b_{2} \in B_{i_{2}}, \ldots, b_{m} \in B_{i_{m}}$
such that $b_{1} b_{2} \cdots b_{m}$ is order-isomorphic to $\sigma$. Otherwise, we say that $\pi$ avoids a pattern $\sigma$. For example, the ordered partition $14 / 35 / 2 \in \mathcal{O P}_{5,3}$ is 123 -avoiding, while it contains a pattern 132. Similarly, let $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{n, k}(\sigma)$ denote the set of ordered partitions of $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{n, k}$ that are $\sigma$-avoiding. Let $\mathrm{op}_{n, k}(\sigma)=\left|\mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{n, k}(\sigma)\right|$, op ${ }_{n}(\sigma)=\left|\mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{n}(\sigma)\right|$, $\mathrm{op}_{\left[b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{k}\right]}(\sigma)=\left|\mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{\left[b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{k}\right]}(\sigma)\right|$ and $\mathrm{op}_{\left[s^{k}\right]}(\sigma)=\left|\mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{\left[s^{k}\right]}(\sigma)\right|$.

Godbole, et al. [3] obtained the following formulas for $\mathrm{op}_{n, 3}(\sigma)$ and $\mathrm{op}_{n, n-1}(\sigma)$ for any $\sigma \in S_{3}$.

Theorem 1.1 For $n \geq 1,1 \leq k \leq n$, and for any permutation $\sigma$ of length 3 , we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{op}_{n, 3}(\sigma) & =\left(\frac{n^{2}}{8}+\frac{3 n}{8}-2\right) 2^{n}+3 \\
\mathrm{op}_{n, n-1}(\sigma) & =\frac{3(n-1)^{2}}{n(n+1)}\binom{2 n-2}{n-1} \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Godbole, et al. [3] also showed that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{op}_{n, k}(\sigma) & =\mathrm{op}_{n, k}(123),  \tag{1.2}\\
\mathrm{op}_{\left[b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{k}\right]}(\sigma) & =\mathrm{op}_{\left[b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{k}\right]}(123) \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $\sigma \in S_{3}$. They raised a question concerning the enumeration of $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{n, k}(123)$. Using Zeilberger's Maple package FindRec [12], they conjectured that op ${ }_{\left[2^{k}\right]}(123)$ satisfied the following second order linear recurrence relation.

Conjecture 1.1 For $k \geq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{op}_{\left[2^{k+2]}\right.}(123)=\frac{329 k^{3}+1215 k^{2}+1426 k+528}{2(k+2)(2 k+5)(7 k+5)} \mathrm{op}_{\left[2^{k+1}\right]}(123) \\
+\frac{3(k+1)(2 k+1)(7 k+12)}{(k+2)(2 k+5)(7 k+5)} \mathrm{op}_{\left[2^{k}\right]}(123) \tag{1.4}
\end{array}
$$

In this paper, we provide an answer to the above question by deriving a bivariate generating function for $\mathrm{op}_{n, k}(123)$ and w confirm the conjectured recurrence relation by computing the generating function of $\mathrm{op}_{\left[2^{k}\right]}(123)$.

## 2 The generating function of $\mathrm{op}_{n, k}(123)$

In this section, we obtain the bivariate generating function of $\mathrm{op}_{n, k}(123)$. Let $F(x, y)$ be the generating function of $\mathrm{op}_{n, k}(123)$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, y)=\sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{k \geq 0} \mathrm{op}_{n, k}(123) x^{n} y^{k} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We show that $F(x, y)$ can be expressed in terms of the bivariate generating function $E(x, y)$ of 123 -avoiding permutations of $[n]$ with respect to the number of descents. More precisely, for a permutation $\sigma=\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \cdots \sigma_{n} \in S_{n}$, the descent set of $\sigma$ is defined by

$$
D(\sigma)=\left\{i: \sigma_{i}>\sigma_{i+1}\right\}
$$

and the number of descents of $\sigma$ is denoted by $\operatorname{des}(\sigma)=|D(\sigma)|$. Barnabei, Bonetti and Silimbani [2] defined the generating function

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(x, y)=\sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}(123)} x^{n} y^{d e s(\sigma)}=\sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{d \geq 0} e_{n, d} x^{n} y^{d} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
e_{n, d}=\left|\left\{\sigma \mid \sigma \in S_{n}(123), \operatorname{des}(\sigma)=d\right\}\right| .
$$

Furthermore, they obtained the following formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(x, y)=\frac{-1+2 x y+2 x^{2} y-2 x y^{2}-4 x^{2} y^{2}+2 x^{2} y^{3}+\sqrt{1-4 x y-4 x^{2} y+4 x^{2} y^{2}}}{2 x y^{2}(x y-1-x)} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following theorem gives the generating function $F(x, y)$ in terms of $E(x, y)$.
Theorem 2.1 We have

$$
F(x, y)=E\left(x y, 1+y^{-1}\right)
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, y)=\frac{-y-2 x y-2 x+2 x^{2} y+2 x^{2}+y \sqrt{1-4 x y-4 x+4 x^{2} y+4 x^{2}}}{2 x(y+1)^{2}(x-1)} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove the above theorem, we establish a connection between $\mathrm{op}_{n, k}(123)$ and $e_{n, d}$.
Theorem 2.2 For $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{op}_{n, k}(123)=\sum_{d=n-k}^{n-1}\binom{d}{n-k} e_{n, d} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Define a map $\varphi: \mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{n, k}(123) \rightarrow S_{n}(123)$ as a canonical representation of an ordered partition. Given an ordered partition $\pi=B_{1} / B_{2} / \cdots / B_{k} \in \mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{n, k}(123)$. If we list the elements of each block in decreasing order and ignore the symbol '/' between two adjacent blocks, we get a permutation $\varphi(\pi)=\sigma=\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \cdots \sigma_{n} \in S_{n}$. It can be shown that $\varphi$ is well-defined, that is, $\sigma=\varphi(\pi)$ is a 123 -avoiding permutation of $S_{n}$. Assume to the contrary that $\sigma$ contains a 123-pattern, that is, there exist $i<j<l$ such that $\sigma_{i} \sigma_{j} \sigma_{l}$ is a 123 -pattern in $\sigma$. By the construction of $\sigma$, we see that the elements $\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{j}$ and $\sigma_{l}$ are in different blocks in $\pi$. This implies that $\sigma_{i} \sigma_{j} \sigma_{l}$ is a 123 -pattern of $\pi$, a contradiction. Thus $\sigma \in S_{n}(123)$. Moreover, according to the construction of $\sigma$, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{des}(\sigma) \geq \sum_{s=1}^{k}\left(\left|B_{s}\right|-1\right)=n-k \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, given a permutation $\sigma=\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \cdots \sigma_{n}$ in $S_{n}(123)$ with $d$ descents, we aim to count the preimages $\pi$ in $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{n, k}(123)$ such that $\varphi(\pi)=\sigma$. If $d<n-k$, by inequality (2.6), it is impossible for any $\pi$ in $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{n, k}(123)$ to be a preimage of $\sigma$. So we may assume that $d \geq n-k$. Let $\pi^{\prime}=\sigma_{1} / \sigma_{2} / \cdots / \sigma_{n}$. Clearly, $\varphi\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)=\sigma$. If $i \in D(\sigma)$, we may merge $\sigma_{i}$ and $\sigma_{i+1}$ of $\pi^{\prime}$ into a block to form a new ordered partition $\pi^{\prime \prime}$. It is easily verified that $\varphi\left(\pi^{\prime \prime}\right)=\sigma$ and $b\left(\pi^{\prime \prime}\right)=n-1$. Moreover, we may iterate this process if $\operatorname{des}\left(\pi^{\prime \prime}\right)>0$. Note that at each step we get a preimage of $\sigma$ with one less block. To obtain the preimages $\pi$ with $k$ blocks, we need to repeat this process $n-k$ times. Observe that the resulting ordered partition depends only on the positions we choose in $D(\sigma)$. Hence we conclude that there are $\binom{d}{n-k}$ ordered partitions $\pi$ in $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{n, k}(123)$ such that $\varphi(\pi)=\sigma$. Hence the theorem follows from summing over $d$.

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 2.1.
Proof of Theorem 2.1. By Theorem 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^{n} \mathrm{op}_{n, k}(123) x^{n} y^{k} & =\sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{d=n-k}^{n-1}\binom{d}{n-k} e_{n, d} x^{n} y^{k} \\
& =\sum_{d=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=n-d}^{n}\binom{d}{n-k} e_{n, d} x^{n} y^{k} \\
& =\sum_{d=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{d}\binom{d}{j} e_{n, d} x^{n} y^{n-j} \\
& =\sum_{d=0}^{n-1} e_{n, d}(x y)^{n}\left(1+y^{-1}\right)^{d}
\end{aligned}
$$

Summing over $n$, we obtain that $F(x, y)=E\left(x y,\left(1+y^{-1}\right)\right)$.
An alternative proof of the formula (2.4) for $F(x, y)$ was given by Kasraoui [6]. Setting $y=1$ in the generating function $F(x, y)$, we are led to the generating function of $\mathrm{op}_{n}(123)$.

Corollary 2.3 Let $H(x)$ be the generating function of $\mathrm{op}_{n}(123)$, that is

$$
H(x)=\sum_{n \geq 0} \mathrm{op}_{n}(123) x^{n}
$$

Then we have

$$
H(x)=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{1+\sqrt{1-8 x+8 x^{2}}} .
$$

The connection between $\mathrm{op}_{n, k}(123)$ and $e_{n, d}$ can be used to derive the following generating function of $\mathrm{op}_{n, n-1}(123)$.

Corollary 2.4 Let $G(x)$ be the generating function of $\mathrm{op}_{n, n-1}(123)$, that is,

$$
G(x)=\sum_{n \geq 1} \mathrm{op}_{n, n-1}(123) x^{n} .
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x)=\frac{2 x^{2}-7 x+2+3 x \sqrt{1-4 x}-2 \sqrt{1-4 x}}{2 x \sqrt{1-4 x}} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{op}_{n, n-1}(123)=\sum_{d=1}^{n-1} d e_{n, d} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(x) & =\sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{d=1}^{n-1} d e_{n, d} x^{n} \\
& =\left.\frac{\partial E(x, y)}{\partial y}\right|_{y=1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By expression (2.3) for $E(x, y)$, we obtain (2.7).
Notice that formula (1.1) for $\mathrm{op}_{n, n-1}$ can be deduced from (2.7).

## 3 The generating function of $\mathrm{op}_{\left[2^{k}\right]}(123)$

In this section, we compute the generating function of $\mathrm{op}_{\left[2^{k}\right]}(123)$ which leads to the recurrence relation of $\mathrm{op}_{\left[2^{k]}\right.}(123)$ as in Conjecture 1.1

Theorem 3.1 Let $Q(x)$ be the generating function of $\mathrm{op}_{\left[2^{k}\right]}(123)$, that is,

$$
Q(x)=\sum_{k \geq 0} \mathrm{op}_{\left[2^{k}\right]}(123) x^{2 k}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(x)=\sqrt{\frac{2}{1+2 x^{2}+\sqrt{1-12 x^{2}}}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $Q^{\prime}(x), Q^{\prime \prime}(x)$ and $Q^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)$ denote the first derivative, second derivative and third derivative of $Q(x)$, respectively. The following theorem shows that $Q(x)$ satisfies a third order differential equation.

Theorem 3.2 We have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\frac{21}{2} x^{7}+\frac{329}{8} x^{5}-\frac{7}{2} x^{3}\right) Q^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)+\left(99 x^{6}+\frac{1443}{8} x^{4}-5 x^{2}\right) Q^{\prime \prime}(x) \\
+\left(207 x^{5}+\frac{717}{8} x^{3}+11 x\right) Q^{\prime}(x)+\left(72 x^{4}-12 x^{2}\right) Q(x)=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equating coefficients of $x^{2 n+4}$ in (3.2), we obtain the recurrence relation (1.4) for $\mathrm{op}_{\left[2^{k}\right]}(123)$.

To prove Theorem 3.1, we construct a bijection between ordered partitions and permutations on multisets. Given an ordered partition $\pi=B_{1} / B_{2} / \cdots / B_{k} \in \mathcal{O P}_{n, k}$, its canonical sequence, denoted $\psi(\pi)$, is defined to be a sequence $\rho=\rho_{1} \rho_{2} \cdots \rho_{n}$ with $\rho_{i}=j$ if $i \in B_{j}$. Let $\mathcal{W}_{\left[1^{b_{1}} 2^{\left.b_{2} \ldots k^{b_{k}}\right]} \text { }\right.}$ denote the set of permutations on a multiset $\left\{1^{b_{1}}, 2^{b_{2}}, \ldots, k^{b_{k}}\right\}$, where $i^{r}$ means $r$ occurrences of $i$. It is easily verified that $\psi$ is a


Any permutation $\sigma \in S_{m}$ corresponds naturally to a unique ordered partition of [ $m$ ] with each element in its own block. Define the canonical sequence of $\sigma$ to be the canonical sequence of the corresponding ordered partition. It is not hard to see that
the canonical sequence of $\sigma$ is its inverse $\sigma^{-1}$. For example, the canonical sequence of 43512 is 45213.

By the definition of pattern avoiding ordered partitions, we see that an ordered partition $\pi$ contains a pattern $\sigma$ if and only if its canonical sequence $\psi(\pi)$ contains a pattern $\sigma^{-1}$. This implies that $\psi$ is a bijection between $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{\left[b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{k}\right]}(\sigma)$ and $\mathcal{W}_{\left[1^{\left.b_{1} 2^{b_{2}} \ldots k^{b_{k}}\right]}\right.}\left(\sigma^{-1}\right)$, where $\mathcal{W}_{\left[1^{\left.b_{1} 2^{b_{2}} \ldots k^{b} k\right]}\right.}(\tau)$ is the set of $\tau$-avoiding permutations in $\mathcal{W}_{\left[1^{b_{1}} 2^{\left.b_{2} \ldots k^{b} k\right]}\right.}$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{op}_{\left[b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{k}\right]}(\sigma)=\left|\mathcal{W}_{\left[1^{b_{12} b_{2} \ldots k^{b_{k]}}}\right.}\left(\sigma^{-1}\right)\right| . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to establish the recurrence relation for $\mathrm{op}_{\left[2^{k}\right]}(123)$, we need to use $\mathrm{op}_{\left[2^{k}, 1\right]}(123)$ and $\mathrm{op}_{\left[2^{k}, 1,1\right]}(123)$. Combining (3.3) and (1.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{op}_{\left[2^{n}\right]}(123) & =\left|\mathcal{W}_{\left[1^{2} 2^{2} \ldots n^{2}\right]}(132)\right| \\
\mathrm{op}_{\left[2^{n}, 1\right]}(123) & =\left|\mathcal{W}_{\left[1^{2} 2^{2} \ldots n^{2}(n+1)\right]}(132)\right| \\
\mathrm{op}_{\left[2^{n}, 1,1\right]}(123) & =\left|\mathcal{W}_{\left[1^{2} 2^{2} \cdots n^{2}(n+1)(n+2)\right]}(132)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{2 n} & =\left|\mathcal{W}_{\left[1^{2} 2^{2} \ldots n^{2}\right]}(132)\right|, \\
u_{2 n+1} & =\left|\mathcal{W}_{\left[1^{2} 2^{2} \cdots n^{2}(n+1)\right]}(132)\right|, \\
v_{2 n} & =\left|\mathcal{W}_{\left[1^{2} 2^{2} \cdots(n-1)^{2} n(n+1)\right]}(132)\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we set $u_{0}=v_{0}=1$ and set $u_{n}=v_{n}=0$ for $n<0$.
We proceed to derive recurrence relations for $u_{2 n}, u_{2 n+1}$ and $v_{2 n}$ that can be used to obtain a system of equations on the generating functions. In particular, we get the generating function of $u_{2 n}$, that is, the generating function of $\mathrm{op}_{\left[2^{n}\right]}(123)$.

Let $U_{e}(x), U_{o}(x)$ and $V(x)$ denote the generating functions of $u_{2 n}, u_{2 n+1}$ and $v_{2 n}$, namely,

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{e}(x) & =\sum_{n \geq 0} u_{2 n} x^{2 n} \\
U_{o}(x) & =\sum_{n \geq 0} u_{2 n+1} x^{2 n+1} \\
V(x) & =\sum_{n \geq 0} v_{2 n} x^{2 n}
\end{aligned}
$$

We need the following lemma due to Atkinson, Walker and Linton [1].

Lemma 3.3 Given two permutations $p=p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{n}$ and $q=q_{1} q_{2} \cdots q_{n}$ of the same multiset of $[n]$, we have

$$
\left|\mathcal{W}_{\left[1^{\left.p_{1} 2^{p_{2} \ldots n^{p_{n}}}\right]}\right.}(132)\right|=\left|\mathcal{W}_{\left[1^{q_{1}} 2^{\left.q_{2} \ldots n^{q_{n}}\right]}\right.}(132)\right| .
$$

The following theorem gives a recurrence relation for $u_{2 n}$ and $u_{2 n+1}$.
Theorem 3.4 For $n \geq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2 n+1}=\sum_{i+j=2 n} u_{i} u_{j} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{o}(x)=x\left(U_{o}^{2}(x)+U_{e}^{2}(x)\right) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Assume that $\pi \in \mathcal{W}_{\left[1^{2} 2^{2} \cdots n^{2}(n+1)\right]}(132)$. Write $\pi$ in the form $\sigma(n+1) \tau$. Since $\pi$ is 132 -avoiding, both $\sigma$ and $\tau$ are 132-avoiding. Moreover, for any element $r$ in $\sigma$ and any element $s$ in $\tau$, we have $r \geq s$. Let $k$ be the maximum number in $\tau$. It can be seen that $\tau$ contains all the numbers in the multiset $\left\{1^{2}, 2^{2}, \ldots, n^{2},(n+1)\right\}$ that are smaller than $k$, that is, $\tau$ contains all the elements in the multiset $\left\{1^{2}, 2^{2}, \ldots,(k-1)^{2}\right\}$.

There are two cases. If $|\tau|$ is even, then $\tau$ contains two occurrences of $k$. Thus $\tau$ is in $\mathcal{W}_{\left[1^{2} 2^{2} \ldots k^{2}\right]}(132)$, which is counted by $u_{2 k}$. Moreover, $\sigma$ is in $\mathcal{W}_{\left[(k+1)^{2}(k+2)^{2} \ldots n^{2}\right]}(132)$. It is easily seen that $\left|\mathcal{W}_{\left[(k+1)^{2}(k+2)^{2} \ldots n^{2}\right]}(132)\right|=\left|\mathcal{W}_{\left[1^{2} 2^{2} \ldots(n-k)^{2}\right]}(132)\right|$, which is counted by $u_{2 n-2 k}$.

If $|\tau|$ is odd, then we have $\tau \in \mathcal{W}_{\left[1^{2} 2^{2} \cdots(k-1)^{2} k\right]}$ (132) and $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}_{\left[k(k+1)^{2}(k+2)^{2} \cdots n^{2}\right]}(132)$. In this case, $\mathcal{W}_{\left[1^{2} 2^{2} \ldots(k-1)^{2} k\right]}(132)$ is counted by $u_{2 k-1}$. By Lemma 3.3, we see that $\left|\mathcal{W}_{\left[k(k+1)^{2} \cdots n^{2}\right]}(132)\right|=\left|\mathcal{W}_{\left[k^{2}(k+1)^{2} \cdots(n-1)^{2} n\right]}(132)\right|$, which is counted by $u_{2 n+1-2 k}$. Combining the above two cases, we obtain (3.4).

Using (3.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{o}(x) & =\sum_{n \geq 0} u_{2 n+1} x^{2 n+1} \\
& =x \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{i+j=2 n} u_{i} u_{j} x^{2 n} \\
& =x \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{2 i+2 j=2 n} u_{2 i} u_{2 j} x^{2 n}+x \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{2 i+1+2 j+1=2 n} u_{2 i+1} u_{2 j+1} x^{2 n} \\
& =x\left(U_{o}^{2}(x)+U_{e}^{2}(x)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

as claimed.
The following theorem shows that $v_{2 n}$ can be expressed in terms of $u_{2 n}$ and $u_{2 n-1}$.

Theorem 3.5 For $n \geq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{2 n}=u_{2 n}+u_{2 n-1}, \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x)=U_{e}(x)+x U_{o}(x) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Clearly, (3.6) holds for $n=0$ under the assumptions that $u_{-1}=0$ and $u_{0}=v_{0}=1$. So we assume that $n \geq 1$, and assume that $\pi=\pi_{1} \pi_{2} \cdots \pi_{2 n} \in$ $\mathcal{W}_{\left[1^{2} 2^{2} \ldots(n-1)^{2} n(n+1)\right]}(132)$. There are two cases. If $n+1$ precedes $n$ in $\pi$, then we have $\pi_{1}=n+1$. Otherwise, $\pi_{1}(n+1) n$ forms a 132 -pattern in $\pi$, a contradiction. Using the fact that $\pi_{1}=n+1$, it is clear that $\pi \in \mathcal{W}_{\left[1^{2} 2^{2} \ldots(n-1)^{2} n(n+1)\right]}(132)$ if and only if $\pi_{2} \pi_{3} \cdots \pi_{2 n} \in \mathcal{W}_{\left[1^{2} 2^{2} \cdots(n-1)^{2} n\right]}(132)$. Notice that $\mathcal{W}_{\left[1^{2} 2^{2} \cdots(n-1)^{2} n\right]}(132)$ is counted by $u_{2 n-1}$.

If $n$ precedes $n+1$ in $\pi$, then there does not exist any 132-pattern of $\pi$ that contains both $n$ and $n+1$. In this case, we may treat $n+1$ as $n$. Such permutations form the set $\mathcal{W}_{\left[1^{2} 2^{2} \ldots(n-1)^{2} n^{2}\right]}(132)$, which is counted by $u_{2 n}$. Combining the above two cases, we obtain (3.6), which yields (3.7).

To compute the generating functions $U_{e}(x), U_{o}(x)$ and $V(x)$, we still need one more relation, which is given below.

Theorem 3.6 For $n \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2 n}=2 \sum_{2 i+j=2 n-1} u_{2 i} u_{j}+\sum_{2 i+1+j=2 n-2} u_{2 i+1} u_{j}-u_{2 n-1}, \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{e}(x)=1+2 x U_{e}(x) U_{o}(x)-x^{2} U_{e}^{2}(x) . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Assume that $\pi \in W_{\left[1^{2} 2^{2} \ldots n^{2}\right]}(132)$. Write $\pi$ in the form $\sigma n \tau$ such that $n$ appears in $\sigma$. Since $\pi$ is 132-avoiding, both $\sigma$ and $\tau$ are 132-avoiding. Moreover, for any element $r$ in $\sigma$ and any element $s$ in $\tau$, we have $r \geq s$.

Let $k$ be the maximum number in $\tau$. There are two cases. If $|\tau|$ is even, using the same argument as in Theorem 3.4, we deduce that $\tau \in \mathcal{W}_{\left[1^{2} 2^{2} \ldots k^{2}\right]}(132)$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}_{\left[(k+1)^{2} \ldots(n-1)^{2} n\right]}(132)$. In this case, $\mathcal{W}_{\left[1^{2} 2^{2} \ldots(k-1)^{2} k^{2}\right]}(132)$ is counted by $u_{2 k}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{\left[(k+1)^{2} \ldots(n-1)^{2} n\right]}(132)$ is counted by $u_{2 n-1-2 k}$.

If $|\tau|$ is odd, it can be seen that $\tau$ is in $\mathcal{W}_{\left[1^{2} 2^{2} \cdots(k-1)^{2} k\right]}(132)$, which is counted by $u_{2 k-1}$, and $\sigma$ is in $\mathcal{W}_{\left[k(k+1)^{2} \ldots(n-1)^{2} n\right]}(132)$. By Lemma 3.3, we find that

$$
\left|\mathcal{W}_{\left[k(k+1)^{2} \cdots(n-1)^{2} n\right]}(132)\right|=\left|\mathcal{W}_{\left[k^{2} \cdots(n-2)^{2}(n-1) n\right]}(132)\right|,
$$

which is counted by $v_{2 n-2 k}$. Observing that $\sigma$ is not empty, we have $2 n-2 k>0$.
Combining the above two cases, we get

$$
u_{2 n}=\sum_{2 i+j=2 n-1} u_{2 i} u_{j}+\sum_{2 i+1+j=2 n-1} u_{2 i+1} v_{j}-u_{2 n-1} .
$$

In view of relation (3.6), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{2 n} & =\sum_{2 i+j=2 n-1} u_{2 i} u_{j}+\sum_{2 i+1+j=2 n-1} u_{2 i+1} u_{j}+\sum_{2 i+1+j=2 n-1} u_{2 i+1} u_{j-1}-u_{2 n-1} \\
& =2 \sum_{2 i+j=2 n-1} u_{2 i} u_{j}+\sum_{2 i+1+j=2 n-2} u_{2 i+1} u_{j}-u_{2 n-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It remains to prove relation (3.9). Using (3.8), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{e}(x) & =1+\sum_{n \geq 1} u_{2 n} x^{2 n} \\
& =1+\sum_{n \geq 1}\left(2 \sum_{2 i+j=2 n-1} u_{2 i} u_{j}+\sum_{2 i+1+j=2 n-2} u_{2 i+1} u_{j}-u_{2 n-1}\right) x^{2 n} \\
& =1+2 \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{2 i+j=2 n-1} u_{2 i} u_{j} x^{2 n}+\sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{2 i+1+j=2 n-2} u_{2 i+1} u_{j} x^{2 n}-\sum_{n \geq 1} u_{2 n-1} x^{2 n} \\
& =1+2 x U_{e}(x) U_{o}(x)+x^{2} U_{o}^{2}(x)-x U_{o}(x) . \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (3.5) into (3.10), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{e}(x) & =1+2 x U_{e}(x) U_{o}(x)+x^{2} U_{o}^{2}(x)-x^{2}\left(U_{o}^{2}(x)+U_{e}^{2}(x)\right) \\
& =1+2 x U_{e}(x) U_{o}(x)-x^{2} U_{e}^{2}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

as claimed.
We are now ready to complete the proof of Theorem 3.1.
Proof of Theorem 3.1. Note that $Q(x)=U_{e}(x)$. By (3.9), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{o}(x)=\frac{x^{2} U_{e}^{2}(x)+U_{e}(x)-1}{2 x U_{e}(x)} . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Plugging (3.11) into (3.5) yields the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x^{4}+4 x^{2}\right) U_{e}^{4}(x)-\left(2 x^{2}+1\right) U_{e}^{2}(x)+1=0 . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given the initial values of $u_{2 n}$, we are led the solution of $U_{e}(x)$ as given by (3.1).
To conclude, we note that the generating functions $U_{o}(x)$ and $V(x)$ are given as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{o}(x) & =\frac{1}{2 x}-\frac{1+\sqrt{1-12 x^{2}}}{4 x} U_{e}(x), \\
V(x) & =\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3-\sqrt{1-12 x^{2}}}{4} U_{e}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$
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